1/14/69

Dear Paul

A few before-bed thoughts on your letters and memos to which I earlier responded.

On Ward and Paul: agreed, but I cannot now, not only because there is no time but the file is not complete. It is one of the things I will work on as soon as I can when I get back, for the very obvious reason. It will, when I have everything, be simple to send it out registered. But first I would want to make a complete list of what is in it. Then perhaps Gary also would want a copy. If I forget, please remind me, but give me a couple of weeks after returning. By then you may have certain specific ideas. I think I'll have to go over everything in that file. Bud is getting things for me now. As I believe I told you, the depositions I regard as crucial in Liebeler's New Orleans work are the only ones for which there is no covering letter, no covering registration. I cannot regard it as innocent when he changes the depositions of Geraci and Blalock to make them consistent with Bringuier's perjury. You must also remember, as I FOR got to say in the appropriate memo, that Bringuier, who was being covered, also knew the significance of the 544 Camp St. Address, knew Ferrie and all about him, who was at those meetings (including, without doubt, himself), knew all the people there. Among the people who also knew the significance of this address, whether or not Quigley did, are deBrueys, who was at the Cuban meetings regularly, probably Logan and most certainly Regis Kennedy, one of the reasons I am unwilling to put an innocent interpretation on his Marcello report. I have no doubt Kennedy had seen Ferrie at Banister's, at the very least. He was there almost daily. Remember Wall's fudging on the CRC address and how they got it? Newman told both the FBI and SS that Banister, not Martin, arranged for this. He told me. I am certain I sent you a copy of that memo.

Matter of fact, I send most everything to you and Gary, and since returning from N.OL this last time, everything relating to it to Moo. I copied your dissenting Quigley memo and sent that to Gary also. Please do not stop disagreeing. We do not have to agree, but we do have to explore.

Nouma is coming earlier and staying later.

I like the epilogue to your Ruby memo(which I will not now give Moo because to him it will be a distraction and when he might want it he would not have it. Besides, I want the second copy! We can give this to him later, if he needs it. I will eventually want to follow that further. I do not at all disagree with you, I do agree with your suspicions, but I also am not satisfied. Hoover gave the Commission much he didn't want them to have, assuming, as he told you, that the stuff would never be seen by anyone. That does not explain his paraphrasing satisfactorily No rush, but sometime I'd like to see all those reports, perhaps print them (optimism) in AGENT OSWALD.

On Gaudet, David Zitch, who I believe is a high-school student, has just sent me what I've not time to read, copies of contents pages of about six issues of the Latin American Report, maybe a few more. Going back to 1960 its address was ITM! Shades of Mario Bermudez and the Cordell Hull Foundation! That is an expensive address for so small a publication - unless subsidized. If you or Jim write him (17326 Gilmore, Van Nuys, Calif. 91406), tell him I suggested it.

Hope I haven't forgotten anything. Sincerely,